Disorganized Symptoms Predicted Worse Functioning Outcome in Schizophrenia Patients with Established Illness

Bruno Bertolucci Ortiz^{1,2,3}, Ary Gadelha^{1,2}, Cinthia Hiroko Higuchi^{1,2}, Cristiano Noto^{1,2}, Daiane Medeiros², José Cássio do Nascimento Pitta¹, Gerardo Maria de Araújo Filho^{1,4}, Jaime Eduardo Cecílio Hallak⁵, Rodrigo Affonseca Bressan^{1,2}

Abstract

Most patients with schizophrenia will have subsequent relapses of the disorder, with continuous impairments in functioning. However, evidence is lacking on how symptoms influence functioning at different phases of the disease. This study aims to investigate the relationship between symptom dimensions and functioning at different phases: acute exacerbation, nonremission and remission. **Methods:** Patients with schizophrenia were grouped into acutely ill (n=89), not remitted (n=89), and remitted (n=69). Three exploratory stepwise linear regression analyses were performed for each phase of schizophrenia, in which the five PANSS factors and demographic variables were entered as the independent variables and the total Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF) score was entered as the dependent variable. An additional exploratory stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed to predict subsequent remission at discharge in the inpatient population. **Results:** The Disorganized factor was the most significant predictor for acutely ill patients (p<0.001), while the Hostility factor was the most significant for not-remitted patients and the Negative factor was the most significant for remitted patients (p=0.001 and p<0.001, respectively). In the logistic regression, the Disorganized factor score presented a significant negative association with remission (p=0.007). **Conclusions:** Higher disorganization symptoms showed the greatest impact in functioning at acute phase, and prevented patients from achieving remission, suggesting it may be a marker of symptom severity and worse outcome in schizophrenia.

Key Words: Remission in Schizophrenia, Functional Remission, Symptom Dimensions, Disorganized Schizophrenia

¹Interdisciplinary Laboratory of Clinical Neurosciences (LiNC), Department of Psychiatry, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil ²Schizophrenia Program (Proesq), Department of Psychiatry, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil ³Department of Mental Health, Faculdade de Medicina de Mogi das Cruzes (FMUMC)-Hospital das Clínicas Luzia de Pinho Melo-SPDM, Mogi das Cruzes, SP, Brazil ⁴Department of Psychiatry and Medical Psychology, Medical School of São José do Rio Preto (FAMERP), Brazil ⁵Department of Neurosciences and Behavior, Division of Psychiatry, Faculdade de Medicina de Ribeirão Preto-Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, SP, Brazil Address for correspondence: Rodrigo Affonseca Bressan, Interdisciplinary Laboratory of Clinical Neurosciences (LiNC), Department of Psychiatry, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Rua Pedro de Toledo, 669, 3° andar, CEP 05039-032, São Paulo, SP, Brazil Phone/Fax: +55-11-5576-4845; Fax: +55-11-8144-3072;

E-mail: rodrigoabressan@gmail.com

Submitted: August 18, 2014; Revised: October 20, 2014; Accepted: November 23, 2014

Introduction

Most patients with schizophrenia will present recurrent psychotic relapses with brief asymptomatic periods between episodes (1, 2). According to the staging model for schizophrenia proposed by McGorry et al. (3), patients who do not achieve complete remission or recovery after the first episode would fall under the stage 3, while the stage 4 comprises chronic patients presenting severe, persistent, and continuous unremitting illness with functional impairments. Regardless of the stage, treatment for a patient with schizophrenia should progress from symptomatic response to functional remission, or even recovery (4). Symptomatic remission has been repeatedly reported as an increasingly attainable state (5) and, according to a recent systematic review (6), remission rates can range from 16 to 67% in multiple-episode schizophrenia and from 17 to 78% in firstepisode schizophrenia. In a reanalysis of the CATIE study

Clinical Implications

The results of our study show that the Disorganized factor had the greatest impact on functioning in the acute phase of schizophrenia and the Negative factor was also significant, although to a lesser degree. It was only during the remitted phase that the Disorganized factor was not significant in functioning. On the other hand, the Disorganized factor was the only significant PANSS factor to prevent inpatients from attaining subsequent remission. Taken together, these findings suggest that acutely ill patients presenting predominant disorganized symptoms are less likely to achieve remission. These findings are consistent with those of Metsanen et al. (16), who identified Disorganized symptoms in formal thought disorder as risk factors for a worse course of illness. Furthermore, in a review conducted by Owens et al. (17), odd behavior increased the risk of relapse and reduced the likelihood of a favorable one-year outcome by 90% when repeatedly manifested, and by 85% if present exclusively in the month preceding admission.

(7) by Levine et al. (8), approximately 44.5% of the patients achieved symptomatic remission for any period.

When the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (9) is used to assess psychopathology, it generally produces a five-factor solution (10, 11) regarded as "symptom dimensions," which are Positive, Negative, Disorganized, Depressed/Anxiety and Excited/Hostility. As the course of illness develops, negative symptoms will prevail over positive symptoms (1) and prominence of continuous negative symptoms in chronic patients has been associated with worse functional outcome (12, 13). However, higher rates of disorganization have also been correlated with worse response to treatment, while continuous illness has been associated with worse long-term prognosis (14-18). In addition, Karow et al. (19) reported a lack of functional remission in patients that met criteria for symptomatic remission, whereas disorganized symptoms and emotional distress were present with at least moderate severity in a significant portion of remitted patients.

Much attention has been given to negative and cognitive symptoms with regards to treatment outcome in schizophrenia, while studies addressing the outcome when the disorganized symptoms are the prominent presentation are lacking. Predicting how symptom dimensions impair functioning in schizophrenia may help clinicians in determining therapeutic strategies focused in target symptoms.

The goal of this study is to investigate the role of symptom dimensions in functioning among patients in the stages 3 and 4 of schizophrenia at different illness phases: acute exacerbation, nonremission and remission.

Methods

Subjects

The sample comprised 247 patients with schizophrenia recruited from two different centers: the Inpatient Psychiatric Unit of Hospital das Clínicas Luzia de Pinho Melo (Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil) (n=89), and the outpatient schizophrenia program of the Federal University of São Paulo (São Paulo, Brazil) (n=158), between 2011 and 2014. The main reason for admission to the inpatient unit was severe exacerbation of illness characterized by risk of self-injury or aggressive behavior. The outpatient program provides a full spectrum of evaluation, treatment and case management services for individuals with schizophrenia. Inclusion criteria were: an established diagnosis of schizophrenia for more than one year as defined by the *DSM-IV* (20), have experienced more than one episode of acute exacerbation, and are between 14 and 65 years of age. Exclusion criteria were: first episode of psychosis; psychosis due to medical condition; and severe premorbid intellectual disability (assessed by a family interview focused on neurodevelopment as well as social and cognitive skills in school).

Diagnosis and Assessment of Symptoms

Assessment of the outpatient population was crosssectional and the inpatient population was assessed at baseline, at every four weeks of antipsychotic treatment or any switch of antipsychotic, and at discharge. For diagnostic purposes, modules A, B, C, D and E of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) (21) were administered. Psychopathology was assessed using the PANSS and functioning was assessed by the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF) (22). All SCID, PANSS and GAF raters trained together in periodic meetings. The study was approved by the local research ethics committees (2013/01 and 1737/06), and all subjects and their relatives provided written informed consent for participation.

Remission Criteria

Patients were grouped in remitted and not remitted. Symptomatic remission was defined as a severity of mild (score of 3 on a scale of 1 to 7) or less for the following selected PANSS items: delusions (P1), conceptual disorganization (P2), hallucinatory behavior (P3), unusual thought content (G9), mannerism and posturing (G5), blunted affect (N1), passive/apathetic social withdrawal (N4), and lack of spontaneity and flow of conversation (N6). These are the specific items proposed by the remission criteria developed by the Remission in Schizophrenia Working Group (RSWG) (23). The six-month criterion was not considered in this study;

Table 1	Clinical and Demographic Characteristics Comparison Between Each Clinical Phase of Schizophrenia (N=247)							
Characteristics		Acute N=89	Non- Remitter N=89	Remitter N=9	P-Value			
Gender-Male (%)		57.2	74.5	62.2	0.001			
Age-Years (Mean±SD)		36±11	37±11	36±10	0.967			
Age of Onset-Years (Mean±SD)		22±8	22±7	23±6	0.665			
Duration of Illness-Years (Mean±SD)		13±8	13±8	11±6	0.062			
Years of Education (Mean±SD)		8±4	8±3	8±2	0.744			
Total PANSS Score (Mean±SD)		133±17	70±13	48±9	<0.001			
GAF (Mean±SD)		18±9	43±9	58±11	<0.001			
SD=standard deviation								

thus, the outpatients had to be in symptomatic remission for at least one week at the moment of assessment, while the inpatients were considered in symptomatic remission if they fulfilled the above mentioned criterion for at least one week prior to discharge.

Data Analysis

Psychopathological dimensions of each population were disclosed by previous factor analysis (11, 24). The final factors were: Positive—P1, P3, P5, P6, G9; Disorganized— P2, G5, G10, G11, G13, G15; Negative—N1, N2, N3, N4, N6, G7; Depression—G3, G6; and, Hostility—P4, P7, G8, G14. Difficulty in abstract thinking (N5) was intentionally excluded from the disorganized factor to control for cognitive functional impairment (25). Early response to antipsychotic was defined as a reduction \geq 40% in total PANSS score at fourth week. The peak of effect of antipsychotics typically occurs within 2–4 weeks (when there is an expected reduction of at least 25% in total PANSS score), which means that satisfactory subsequent clinical response is unlikely (26).

Three exploratory stepwise linear regression analyses were performed for each phase of schizophrenia, in which the PANSS factors, age, and duration of illness were entered as the independent variables and the total GAF score was entered as the dependent variable. In addition, an exploratory stepwise logistic regression analysis was performed to predict subsequent remission in the inpatient population, in which the index PANSS factors, age, duration of illness and early response to antipsychotic were entered as the independent variables and symptomatic remission at discharge was entered as the dependent variable. One-way ANOVA was performed between the groups for clinical and demographic characteristics. Statistical significance was considered at the level of <0.05. All statistical analyses were made with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 20.0.

Results

Except for gender, demographic characteristics were homogeneous between the groups while the clinical characteristics were widely heterogeneous (see Table 1). In the stepwise linear regression analyses (see Table 2), the Disorganized factor was the most significant predictor of worse functioning in acutely ill patients, while the Hostility factor and the Negative factor were the most significant for notremitted patients and remitted patients, respectively. The variances of the final models (all p<0.001) were: acutely ill (adjusted $R^2=0.535$), not remitted ($R^2=0.410$), and remitted (adjusted R²=0.450). In the logistic regression for prediction of subsequent remission in the inpatient population, the model was statistically significant, indicating that the predictors distinguished between remitters and nonremitters with a precision of 80.0% (sensitivity=0.54, specificity=0.91, χ^2 =26.00, df=3, p<0.001). The Wald criterion demonstrated that the variables Early response to treatment, Depression factor score and Disorganized factor score made a significant contribution to prediction of remission (see Table 3).

Discussion

The results of our study show that the Disorganized factor had the greatest impact on functioning in the acute phase of schizophrenia and the Negative factor was also significant, although to a lesser degree. It was only during the remitted phase that the Disorganized factor was not significant in functioning. On the other hand, the Disorganized factor was the only significant PANSS factor to prevent inpatients from attaining subsequent remission. Taken together, these

Table 2Multiple Linear Regression of GAF Score for Each
Clinical Phase of Schizophrenia (N=247)

Independent Variables	В	SE	β	т	P-Value
Acutely III					
Disorganized factor	-1.02	0.13	-0.63	-7.77	<0.001
Negative factor	-0.23	0.09	-0.19	-2.38	0.019
Nonremitted					
Hostility factor	-1.30	0.36	-0.31	-3.63	<0.001
Negative factor	-0.71	0.23	-0.31	-3.08	0.003
Disorganized factor	-0.77	0.31	-0.25	-2.43	0.017
Remitted					
Negative factor	-2.53	0.39	-0.59	-6.43	<0.001
Positive factor	-0.92	0.40	-0.20	-2.25	0.028

B=standardized coefficient

Exploratory Logistic Regression for Subsequent Table 3 Symptomatic Remission in Acutely III Schizophrenia Inpatients (N=89) **Independent Variables** В SE Wald **P-Value** Exp(B) Early response 1.32 0.61 4.59 0.032 3.97 Disorganized factor -0.17 0.06 7.32 0.007 1.18 Depression factor 0.23 0.08 7.17 0.007 0.79

B=unstandardized coefficient; SE=standard error of B

findings suggest that acutely ill patients presenting predominant disorganized symptoms are less likely to achieve remission. These findings are consistent with those of Metsanen et al. (16), who identified Disorganized symptoms in formal thought disorder as risk factors for a worse course of illness. Furthermore, in a review conducted by Owens et al. (17), odd behavior increased the risk of relapse and reduced the likelihood of a favorable one-year outcome by 90% when repeatedly manifested, and by 85% if present exclusively in the month preceding admission.

Interestingly, the Hostility factor was the most significant contributor to impaired functioning in not-remitted patients along with Negative and Disorganized factors. Similarly, a previous study has associated hostility with nonadherence to antipsychotics and, by implication, with recurrent relapses (26).

In a recent study, Levine et al. (12) suggested that remission is almost an unattainable objective in the presence of predominant negative symptoms. In our results, even when remission was achieved, the remaining negative symptoms were the most important contributors to prevent the patients from attaining functional gains. Even though the introduction of clozapine led to a breakthrough in the treatment of refractory schizophrenia, it has shown only a modest impact on negative symptoms. On the other hand, both persistent hostility and disorganized symptoms may respond satisfactorily to clozapine (18, 28).

Other baseline factors related with subsequent remission in the inpatient population were to present higher rates of baseline depressive symptoms and an early response to antipsychotics. Although continuous presence of depressive symptoms in chronic schizophrenia patients is a marker of worse outcome (29), other studies have reported that higher rates of depression during the acute phase seems to be associated with good outcome and an increased likelihood of clinical remission (30). Concerning early improvement, it has been repeatedly associated with remission and good functioning in schizophrenia (31).

Finally, a number of limitations need to be considered. First, this study is limited by the lack of data on the sixmonth time criterion for remission. Secondly, the duration of untreated psychosis was not assessed, although it has been reported to be a good predictor of outcome in functioning (17, 32). Thirdly, our data lacked the information on stage 1 (prodromal stage) of the illness. Hence, patients at first episode, which correspond to stage 2 (3), were intentionally excluded to keep homogeneity of the sample. Though not possible in this study, identifying symptom predictors across all the different illness stages is desirable and would have provided much more information. Nevertheless, the present study contributes to the debate on symptom dimensions and the role they play in schizophrenia outcome. The dimensional approach describes better the heterogeneity of schizophrenia and has been recently added to the psychotic disorders chapter in DSM-5 (33).

Acknowledgments

Funding for this study was provided by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP, 2010/08968-6 and 2011/50740-5), Brazil, which had no role in study design, in data collection and analysis, in the writing of this paper, or in the decision to submit this paper for publication.

References

- Lieberman JA, Perkins D, Belger A, Chakos M, Jarskog F, Boteva K, et al. The early stages of schizophrenia: speculations on pathogenesis, pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. Biol Psychiatry 2011;50(11):884-897.
- Lieberman JA. Neurobiology and the natural history of schizophrenia. J Clin Psychiatry 2006;67(10):e14.
- McGorry PD, Hickie IB, Yung AR, Pantelis C, Jackson HJ. Clinical staging of psychiatric disorders: a heuristic framework for choosing earlier, safer and more effective interventions. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2006;40(8):616-622.
- Leucht S. Measurements of response, remission, and recovery in schizophrenia and examples for their clinical application. J Clin Psychiatry 2014;75 Suppl 1:8-14.
- Üçok A, Serbest S, Kandemir PE. Remission after first-episode schizophrenia: results of a long-term follow-up. Psychiatry Res 2011;189(1):33-37.
- 6. AlAqeel B, Margolese HC. Remission in schizophrenia: critical and systematic review. Harv Rev Psychiatry 2012;20(6):281-297.
- Lieberman JA, Stroup TS. The NIMH-CATIE Schizophrenia Study: what did we learn? Am J Psychiatry 2011;168(8):770-775.
- Levine SZ, Rabinowitz J, Ascher-Svanum H, Faries DE, Lawson AH. Extent of attaining and maintaining symptom remission by antipsychotic medication in the treatment of chronic schizophrenia: evidence from the CATIE study. Schizophr Res 2011;133(1):42-46.
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 1987;13(2):261-276.
- Wallwork RS, Fortgang R, Hashimoto R, Weinberger DR, Dickinson D. Searching for a consensus five-factor model of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale for schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 2012;137(1-3):246-250.
- Higuchi CH, Ortiz B, Berberian AA, Noto C, Cordeiro Q, Belangero SI, et al. Factor structure of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) in Brazil: convergent validation of the Brazilian version. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 2014;36(4):336-369.
- 12. Levine SZ, Leucht S. Attaining and sustaining remission of predominant negative symptoms. Schizophr Res 2013;143(1):60-64.
- Rabinowitz J, Levine SZ, Garibaldi G, Bugarski-Kirola D, Berardo CG, Kapur S. Negative symptoms have greater impact on functioning than positive symptoms in schizophrenia: analysis of CATIE data. Schizophr Res 2012;137(1-3):147-150.
- 14. Fenton WS, McGlashan TH. Natural history of schizophrenia subtypes. I. Lon-

gitudinal study of paranoid, hebephrenic, and undifferentiated schizophrenia. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1991;48(11):969-977.

- Cuesta MJ, Peralta V, de Leon J. Schizophrenic syndromes associated with treatment response. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 1994;18(1):87-99.
- Metsanen M, Wahlberg KE, Hakko H, Saarento O, Tienari P. Thought Disorder Index: a longitudinal study of severity levels and schizophrenia factors. J Psychiatr Res 2006;40(3):258-266.
- Owens DC, Johnstone EC, Miller P, Macmillan JF, Crow TJ. Duration of untreated illness and outcome in schizophrenia: test of predictions in relation to relapse risk. Br J Psychiatry 2010;196(4):296-301.
- Ortiz BB, Araujo Filho GM, Araripe Neto AG, Medeiros D, Bressan RA. Is disorganized schizophrenia a predictor of treatment resistance? Evidence from an observational study. Rev Bras Psiquiatr 2013;35(4):432-434.
- Karow A, Moritz S, Lambert M, Schöttle D, Naber D; EGOFORS Initiative. Remitted but still impaired? Symptomatic versus functional remission in patients with schizophrenia. Eur Psychiatry 2012;27(6):401-405.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association, 1994.
- First MB, Spitzer RL, Gibbon M, Williams J. Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders-Patient Edition (SCID-I/P, Version 2.0). New York; Biometrics Research Department, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 1996.
- Endicott J, Spitzer RL, Fleiss JL, Cohen J. The Global Assessment Scale: a procedure for measuring overall severity of psychiatric disturbance. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1976;33(6):766-771.
- Andreasen NC, Carpenter WT Jr, Kane JM, Lasser RA, Marder SR, Weinberger DR. Remission in schizophrenia: proposed criteria and rationale for consensus. Am J Psychiatry 2005;162(3):441-449.
- 24. Ortiz BB, Gadelha A, Higuchi CH, Pitta JC, Kagan S, Vong MR, et al. What are the PANSS items most related with global improvements in patients with schizophrenia? Toward a reduced version of the PANSS. Schizophr Res 2014;158(1-3):277-278.
- Mohamed S, Rosenheck R, Swartz M, Stroup S, Lieberman JA, Keefe RS. Relationship of cognition and psychopathology to functional impairment in schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry 2008;165(8):978-987.
- Kinon BJ, Chen L, Ascher-Svanum H, Stauffer VL, Kollack-Walker S, ZhouW, et al. Early response to antipsychotic drug therapy as a clinical marker of subsequent response in the treatment of schizophrenia. Neuropsychopharmacology 2010;35(2):581-590.
- Czobor P, Volavka J, Derks EM, Bitter I, Libiger J, Kahn RS, et al.; EUFEST Study Group. Insight and hostility as predictors and correlates of nonadherence in the European First Episode Schizophrenia Trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol 2013;33(2):258-261.
- Buckley P, Citrome L, Nichita C, Vitacco M. Psychopharmacology of aggression in schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull 2011;37(5):930-936.
- Conley RR, Ascher-Svanum H, Zhu B, Faries DE, Kinon BJ. The burden of depressive symptoms in the long-term treatment of patients with schizophrenia. Schizophr Res 2007;90(1-3):186-197.
- Haro JM, Novick D, Bertsch J, Karagianis J, Dossenbach M, Jones PB. Cross-national clinical and functional remission rates: Worldwide Schizophrenia Outpatient Health Outcomes (W-SOHO) study. Br J Psychiatry 2011;199(3):194-201.
- Schennach-Wolff R, Jäger M, Mayr A, Meyer S, Kühn KU, Klingberg S, et al. Predictors of response and remission in the acute treatment of first-episode schizophrenia patients—is it all about early response? Eur Neuropsychopharmacol 2011;21(5):370-378.
- 32. Farooq S, Large N, Nielssen O, Waheed W. The relationship between the duration of untreated psychosis and outcome in low-and-middle income countries: a systematic review and meta analysis. Schizophr Res 2009;109(1-3):15-23.
- Tandon R, Gaebel W, Barch DM, Bustillo J, Gur RE, Heckers S, et al. Definition and description of schizophrenia in the DSM-5. Schizophr Res 2013;150(1):3-10.